

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/867,434	05/31/2001	Ronald K. Anderson	AND002-010D1	8057	
75	90 01/24/2005		EXAMINER		
DIEDERIKS & WHITELAW, PLC 12471 Dillingham Square, #301			LEE, EDMUND H		
Woodbridge, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3 ,			1732		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					H/			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/867,43		ANDERSON ET A	AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		EDMUND		1732				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	n appears on the	cover sheet wit	th the correspondence ad	ldress			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specil - If NO period for reply is specil - Failure to reply within the second and reply received by the Common specific specif	TUTORY PERIOD FOR R OF THIS COMMUNICATION available under the provisions of 37 CI in the mailing date of this communication died above is less than thirty (30) days, decified above, the maximum statutory per et or extended period for reply will, by soffice later than three months after the lent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. a reply within the state period will apply and wi statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a re utory minimum of thirty Il expire SIX (6) MONT ication to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel FHS from the mailing date of this continuous control ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)⊠ This action is F 3)□ Since this appl	communication(s) filed on [INAL. 2b] ication is in condition for all dance with the practice under	This action is no	on-final. for formal matte	•	e merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the abov 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>16-26</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)		hdrawn from co						
9)☐ The specification	n is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
· <u> </u>	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may no	ot request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
<u> </u>	awing sheet(s) including the co claration is objected to by th	•		•	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119							
12) Acknowledgme a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of applications	nt is made of a claim for for me * c) None of: copies of the priority docur copies of the priority docur if the certified copies of the on from the International Bu d detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Ap ents have been i e 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cit	ed (PTO_892)		4) Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944 tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Streltsov (USPN 3728799) in view of Plante (USPN 4842742) as set forth in the Office action mailed 8/11/04.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/12/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the combination of Streltsov and Plante would not meet the limitations concerning the uniform heating. This argument is misplaced because Streltsov teaches a substantially uniform temperature across a sheet and Plante was provided to illustrate the well-know idea of extruding a sheet and using the extruded sheet in a thermoforming process to form an appliance liner. Applicant is reminded that the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

Applicant also argues that Streltsov does not teach a fluid medium directed upon opposing side surfaces of the sheet. This argument is misplaced because it is clear

Application/Control Number: 09/867,434 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

from col 2, Ins 57-66 and fig 1 of Streltsov that Streltsov teaches a fluid medium directed upon opposing side surfaces of the sheet. Streltsov clearly teaches using a flow of thermal energy to create a uniform temperature across the sheet. Applicant is directed to figure 1 of Streltsov, which clearly shows a flow of thermal energy directed to a top surface of the sheet and a second flow of thermal energy directed to a bottom surface of the sheet. Streltsov teaches using the flow of thermal energy to create a uniform temperature across the sheet.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,434

Art Unit: 1732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

EHL